# The benefits and challenges of using photometric data for BAO peak measurments

#### by Christoph Saulder







#### Collaborators



Yong-seon Song (KASI)



Zhijie Ding (SJTU)



**Minji Oh** (Chosun University)



Rongpu Zhou (University of Pittsburgh)



Yi Zheng (SYSU)



Ashley Ross (Ohio State University)



Feng Shi (Xidian University)



**Jeffrey Newman** (University of Pittsburgh)



Srivatsan Sridhar (formerly KASI)



Chia-Hsun Chuang (Stanford University)

# What is the BAO (peak)?

Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations



# Measuring the BAO in configuration space

- Fast codes like corrfunc
- → number counts DD, DR, RR as a function of separation

Estimator for correlation function:
 ξ<sub>LS</sub> = (DD - 2\*DR + RR)/RR
 (Landy-Szalay estimator)





#### **Anisotropic correlation function**

anisotropic  $\xi(s,\mu)$ 

projected angular seperation  $\sigma$  [ $h^{-1}$  Mpc]



isotropic  $\xi(s)$ 

projected angular seperation  $\sigma$  [ $h^{-1}$  Mpc]

### **Redshift distance relation**

- Ideally: perfect correlation between redshift and distance
- In practice:
  - Redshift space distortions due to peculiar motions
  - Uncertainty of redshift measurements
    - Relatively small for spectroscopic redshifts
    - But huge for photometric redshifts (!)







 $\sigma_0 = 0.006$ 



### Simulations

- 100 Cubic box dark matter only simulation with 1890 Mpc/h and a mass resolution of 5.5  $10^{11}$   $M_{\odot}$
- Populated using an HOD model corresponding to the DESI LRG at z=0.7
- Used for basic tests of our methods
- Additionally, cut-sky easy-mocks matching the DESI footprint for the covariance matrix of the observational data

### **Observational data**

- Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument survey
- Ongoing spectroscopic survey
- Data from from the first few month is already internally available
- Photometric survey for target selection: DESI Legacy survey DR9

### **Target classes**

- MWS: not for cosmology
- BGS: z < 0.5
- LRG: 0.4 < z < 1.1
- ELG: 0.6 < z < 1.5
- QSO: 0.8 < z 3.5

 Focus on LRG: balance between photometric redshift uncertainty and sample size

# Footprint

- LRG DR9 North photometric
- LRG DR9 North spectroscopic
- LRG DR9 South photometric

LRG DR9 South spectroscopic



#### **Processed spectroscopic data**

- DESI photometric footprint
- DESI spectroscopy by July 2021
- DESI spectroscopic footprint
- galactic equator



### **Our possibilities right now:**

 Just use the photometric data collected by the DESI Legacy Imaging Survey DR9

 Use the already available spectroscopic data and use cross-correlation with the photometric data to account for the incompleteness of the current spectroscopic survey footprint

#### **Cross-correlations**

- Cross-correlations between the spectroscopic data of DESI (after about one pass) and the photometric data of the same area (and surroundings)
- Tests on simulations
- First tests with observations using the internal DESI DA0.2 data release

## **Fibre assignment**

- Tiling strategy of DESI
- Comparing 1-pass with many passes
- Impact of fibre placements and completeness of the spectroscopic data



# **First pass**

fibre assignment after 1 pass fibre assignment after 1 pass 1750 · 1340 1500 -1250 -1320 [Ч/>dW] ∧ 1300 [Wpc/h] 750 -500 -1280 250 -1260 0 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 320 340 360 x [Mpc/h] x [Mpc/h]























# **DESI DA0.2 data**

- DESI photometric footprint
- spectroscopic data used
- photometric data used

galactic equator

- DESI photometric footprint
- spectroscopic data used
- photometric data used
- galactic equator





Differences in the photometric selection

→ North and South are treated separately

Photometric data used in a 2° radius around spectroscopic tile centres

### **DESI DA0.2 data**



# Advantages of cross-correlations

- Cross-correlation naturally recovers features, even if the spectroscopic data set is incomplete and biased due to fibre assignment
- Complimentary to the other methods such as PIP weights (combining both doesn't improve the data)
- Perfectly suited for early DESI data (single pass or few passes) such as DA0.2 and the year 1 data.

# **Disadvantages of cross-correlations**

- Only the lower µ-bins of the anisotropic correlation function can be used.
- Any improvements over the photometric correlation function alone with be ultimately outdone by the spectroscopic correlation once the survey is more complete.
- Dominated by the photometric correlation function
  Suffers from the same systematic biases as it!

### **Photometric data only**

- Observational data from the DESI Legacy Imaging survey DR9
- Sridhar+ 2020 already did the Southern photometric footprint with DR8
- Original plan: update with DR9 and also include the Northern photometric footprint
- Improved LRG target selection

### **Photometric data only**

- Observational data from the DESI Legacy Imaging survey DR9
- Sridhar+ 2020 already did the Southern photometric footprint with DR8
- Original plan: update with DR9 and also include the Northern photometric footprint
- Improved LRG target selection






#### BAO peak measurements LRG North $\tilde{\mu} = 0.083$





#### BAO peak measurements LRG North $\tilde{\mu} = 0.083$





#### BAO peak measurements LRG North $\tilde{\mu} = 0.083$





#### BAO peak measurements LRG North $\ddot{\mu} = 0.083$





### So, what is the problem?

- Surprisingly well constraint value for the peak location in the North, but also relatively small value (tension with Planck).
- Additional tests: systematic shift of the photometric BAO as a function of  $\mu$ .
- Chan+2021 found a similar effect and also a possible solution, but there is more to it.





































































## **Old news: shifting BAO peak**



All figures on this slide are from Chan+2021 (arXiv:2110.13332)

Solution: S  $\rightarrow$  S<sub>1</sub>



photometric data  $\sigma_0=0.02$ 



### Fresh news: BAO peak offset

 One detail missed: the location of the photometric BAO is systematically offset from the spectroscopic BAO peak



## New findings, new problems

- Quantifying the offset
- Re-evaluating older papers (eg. Sridhar+ 2020)
- Also affects the photometric-spectroscopic cross-correlations
  - $\rightarrow$  similar offset, but not exactly the same
- Cosmology dependence of the offset? →
  What can we still learn from the BAO peak?

# Testing for cosmological dependency of the offset



Challenge: cosmic variance  $\rightarrow$  more simulations

#### Next steps

- Quantify shift of the photometric BAO peak
- Redo the photometric DR9 measurements in terms of  $\xi(s_{\perp},\mu)$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  publish the much delayed paper on them
- Adjust the method for the cross-correlations
- Apply cross-correlations to DESI DA0.2 and in the future to the DESI Y1 data

#### **Summary and Conclusion**

- Photometric BAO peak is shifting between different µ-bins as a function of s
- Location of the photometric BAO peak is stable between different  $\mu\text{-bins}$  as a function of s  $_{\perp}$
- Location of the photometric BAO peak is systematically offset from the spectroscopic (true) location
- A challenge for all future BAO peak studies using photometric data (including the cross-correlations)

## **ANY QUESTIONS?**



## **Backup Slides**
## The fitting function

 $\xi_{\text{mod}}(s) = B + \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{-\gamma} + \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(s-s_m)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ 



## **Other BAO peak measurements**

